



“I AM SURE THAT PRECOGNITION IS REALITY, AND THIS IS THE CAUSE ENOUGH TO STUDY AND RESEARCH IT TO BUILD UP A NEW PICTURE OF THE UNIVERSE.”

PRECOGNITION IN DREAMS

PART TWO

feel. Frequently these feelings are mixed and consist to the same time from sorrow, tenderness, and joy. You experience something like a 'sorrow-tenderness-joy' feeling. It is hardly possible to find description for such composite feelings, unless you are at least Leo Tolstoy, who was a master at unfolding human feelings very precisely with words.

If we now go back to my experience, I can tell you that my 'albums of photographs' are my memories, my recollections. When I get information about the future in my sensual dream, I receive it through sensual channel; I see pictures from my past, which have very precise emotional values for me. I receive a kind of emotional matrix, and know that this matrix will be realised in the next one, two or three days. Usually it happens, so a sensual dream rarely predicts events more than three days in the future.

The scientists, especially psychoanalysts, can argue that this kind of emotional matrix can dictate the outcome of events. And, they will argue, that this cannot be accepted as precognition, but can be qualified as a psychological tuning. They will tell us many smart things about unconscious and unknown layers of our psyche. Of course it is not so. I am good enough informed regarding scientific psychological theories and critical enough to myself for mixing up psycho tuning with precognition.

To tell it straight I have no any plausible theory to explain my precognitive dreaming. I have searched much in the scientific literature, in the theology, mystics, esoterics, but did not find any more or less reasonable explanation. The science which nowadays is dominated by materialism and atheism ignores the facts of precognition. The bias is clear; precognition cannot occur because it cannot occur at all, and contradicts to the materialistic point of view. I think that this attitude is also the root of psychoanalytical approach, which means that dreams are merely the outcome of our inner psychological conflict between the depressed lust and the censor.

I even searched for the answers in religious texts. I didn't find them there. The abrahamic religions (*derived from Abraham: Judaism, Christianity and Islam*) accept precognitive dreaming. There are many sections in Old and New Testaments, in Quran when the biblical fathers, prophets or Holy persons see dreams and get particular instructions from the Almighty or undergo the examinations by the Satan and demons. In principle, these writings permit men to rise over their physical and psychical reality to the higher realms, to the experience of prophetic visions and dreams. Holy books consider that dreams can be from God, like Joseph's, Nabukadenosor's or Mohammed's or in contrary from the devil, which leads us to believe they were from God. Holy books also do not deny that the dreams could arise on physiological processes of the human body:

"AS WHEN A HUNGRY MAN DREAMS THAT HE IS EATING, AS WHEN A THIRSTY MAN DREAMS THAT HE IS DRINKING..."

(Is. 29:8).

As a whole, the abrahamic religions advise men to be careful with dreams and to not follow them. God himself tells us through Moses not to:

"PRACTICE DIVINATION AND SORCERY"

(LEV. 19:26).

Far Eastern religious-philosophical teachings don't speak about precognition. In most of them the future is built from the past and the present according to the Karmic – principle. The future which could be understandable does not exist but is built by men. Throughout some religious teachings which depend on Eastern tradition, like Rudolf Steiner's Anthroposophy, the idea of Akasha plays an important role. Akasha is a dimension or continuum in which everything is recorded, and what happened, will happen, until the end of the days. I found this idea very attractive but didn't discover a complex theory of it.

I like to mention here some authors who I think are smart and courageous enough to try to search and tell what they really have found and do mean. I found very exciting the ideas of already mentioned C.G.Jung. His prepositions regarding the collective unconscious and the synchronicity could be a basis for theoretical models explaining precognition. Some scientists of New Age have also interesting ideas. I like to mention Stan Grof, Arnold Mindell, and Gregory Bateson. I was excited also as I get acquainted with the physics of David Bohm who proposes a principal of the leading wave in the quantum world. Very interesting are also experiments of Rupert Sheldrake and his model of the morphic fields. Of course I consider very important experiments of Gery Schwartz and his colleagues.

The problem I see, is that if we accept the possibility of precognition, we have not only got to reject the dominant conception of the human psyche, but we have to destroy whole systems of modern science and religion.

I often tell to myself, that I know, - not believe, but really know, that the precognition exists because I have experienced it many times. The conclusion is; I have to change my conception of the Universe. It must be different. If I see precognitive visions, then they have to come from somewhere, they have to have their source. But where? How? If the future is nowhere, how can I get visions from it? And if I get these visions, the future must be somewhere! It is not important where this future is, in the Akasha, at Brahman, in the Astral body, in the conscience of God or in my album with pictures. What is important is that we can receive the information about the future events. And if it is this way, the Universe must be very different as we see it. This or an other way, depending on my experience, I am sure that precognition is reality, and this is the cause enough to study and research it to build up a new picture of the Universe.



©Stockphoto.com/ Andrey Prokhorov